



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,138	10/15/2003	Brian J. Brown	03-167US (202.0080001)	6236
54953	7590	09/22/2008	EXAMINER	
BROOKS, CAMERON & HUEBSCH, PLLC			TYSON, MELANIE RUANO	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 500			3773	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/686,138	BROWN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Melanie Tyson	3773

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Melanie Tyson. (3) Rob Hagan.

(2) Jay Brooks. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 September 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Keilman et al. (6231516 B1) and Solovay (6,482,227 B1).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: A discussion was held regarding the differences between the prior art applied and the claimed invention. It was agreed upon that amending claim 1 to require that the marker from generally "circumferential" loops on only one side of the cells, and further characterizing the "sides" of the cells, would appear to overcome the prior art applied.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Melanie Tyson/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3773

/Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773